Movement patterns and home range of captive-bred Amur ratsnake (*Elaphe schrenckii*) juveniles in the natural habitat
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Abstract
To determine the movement patterns, home range, and use of structural features of captive-bred one- or two-year-old Amur ratsnake (*Elaphe schrenckii*) juveniles in the natural habitat, we radio-tracked a total of 11 juvenile snakes in a mountain valley in Chiaksan National Park, South Korea, between August 21 and September 20, 2010 and between June 13 and July 13, 2011. During the first week of the release, most juveniles moved short distances, daily, but they increased their distances after the first week. The body weight of the juveniles was negatively related with the movement rate (dividing the number of movements by the number of relocations), which was positively related with the mean daily distances moved and the size of both a kernel 50% and 95% home range. During the study period, the juveniles moved daily, approximately 17 m, and the size of the minimum convex polygon and the 50% and 95% kernel home ranges were 1.8 ha, 0.4 ha, and 3.0 ha, respectively. The released captive-bred juveniles were more frequently confirmed underground or on the ground rather than on rocks or on trees. Our results suggest that the body condition of released individuals, the seasonal time of the release, and the existence of available prey and shelters in the habitat should be carefully considered when releasing captive-bred Amur ratsnake juveniles for the rehabilitation of field populations.
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INTRODUCTION

Like other animal populations, reptile populations are declining worldwide mainly because of the loss or fragmentation of available habitats, increased introduction of invasive species, increased spreads of various diseases following climate changes, and continued overexploitation of reptiles for pets or medical uses (Mullin and Seigel 2009, Todd et al. 2010). Based on the 2011 IUCN Red List (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources 2011), a total of 664 species, which is about 20% of the total reptile species evaluated are assigned as critically endangered, endangered, or vulnerable species, and the numbers are continuously increasing. To delay or reverse this trend, various conservation and rehabilitation projects are running (Armstrong and Seddon 2008, Griffiths and Pavajeau 2008).

In particular, because of the decreased population size of endangered species in natural populations, a rehabilitation project combined with a captive breeding program has been considered a practical method (Gibbons et al. 2000, Seddon et al. 2007). In the captive breeding program, adult reptiles were caught or rescued from field populations for breeding purposes and captive-bred juveniles were used for population augmentation or rehabilitation (Gibbons et al. 2000). To test this method, King
et al. (2004) have studied the movement patterns, home ranges, uses of structural features, and hibernation mortality of captive-bred eastern massasauga (Sistrurus catenatus) in Wisconsin. Recently, Roe et al. (2010) compared the movement and home range of captive-bred and wild-caught juveniles of the northern water snake (Nerodia sipedon). These studies have shown that rehabilitation projects combined with a successful captive breeding program might be applicable for the rehabilitation of endangered reptile species.

The Amur ratsnake (Elaphe schrenckii) is found in Russia, from Siberia to Manchuria; Northeastern China; and most of North and South Korea (Kang and Yoon 1975, Schulz, 1996). Several taxonomic studies (Zhou 2005, An et al. 2010) and basic ecological studies of its feeding, mating, and oviposition in captivity have been conducted (Paik 1979, Zhou and Zhou 2004). In South Korea, the Amur ratsnake has been classified as a Category I endangered species by the Korean Ministry of the Environment since 2005 because of the steady decline of field population size. Recently Lee and Park (2011) reported on the movement patterns, home-range size, and uses of structural features of adult Amur ratsnakes. Various other efforts are being made to conserve and rehabilitate this endangered species (Lee 2011, Kim 2012). In particular, a captive breeding program is running in Chiaksan National Park to increase the number of juvenile ratsnakes that can be used in rehabilitation projects (Kim 2012). However, it is not known how captive-bred Amur ratsnake juveniles once released move in their natural habitat and use the various structural features in the habitat. This knowledge gap prevents the practical application of a captive breeding program in ratsnake rehabilitation projects in South Korea.

In this study, we have determined the movement patterns, home range, and use of structural features of captive-bred Amur ratsnake (E. schrenckii) juveniles in their natural habitat by radio telemetry. Our results could be useful for the successful release of captive-bred Amur ratsnakes into declined field populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The pre-adaptation of captive-bred Amur ratsnake juveniles to the study site before release and the radio-tracking study of the released juveniles was conducted at a mountain valley (37°23’ N, 128°02’ E) in Chiaksan National Park, Wonju, South Korea. We selected this study site for three reasons. First, environmental conditions, such as the existence of a mountain stream, various basking sites, and old dried crop fields in the study site are similar to those at the place in Woraksan National Park where we studied Amur ratsnakes over the past three years and where we collected adult females to produce the study juveniles (Lee 2011). Second, the study site is approximately 400 m apart from our captive breeding facility where we reared the juveniles and where it was known that Amur ratsnakes had been found (Kim 2012). This might be helpful for the juveniles to easily adapt to the study site. Third, the study site is relatively safe from disturbance by human beings because the area is within the national park. We have expected that these conditions could increase the initial adaptability of the released captive-bred juveniles, probably resulting in a low mortality of them.

There were several rock-cliff areas on the upper parts of the study site, and there were many rock clumps and old tree logs on the floor at the lower parts of the site where Amur ratsnakes mainly were present during the active period (Lee and Park 2011). The mountain stream in the study site was small, and, in several areas, the water flowed underneath the stream bed. Several pools were separately present within the stream. Since adult ratsnakes sometimes drink and soak in water (Lee 2011), it was thought that this stream may help the released juveniles to adapt to the study area. Along side of the stream, there were many rock clumps that could be used by the Amur ratsnake juveniles as basking sites or shelters. Vegetation in the study site was mixed forest. Tree and shrub species included Korean red pine (Pinus densiflora), fragrant snowbell (Styrax obassia), red oak (Quercus serrata), Korean maple (Acer pseudosieboldianum), Japanese flowering cherry (Prunus leuclleiana), wavyleaf basketgrass (Oplismenus undulatifolius), Solomon’s seal (Polygonatum odoratum), and pinellia (Pinellia ternata).

Juveniles for the study and implanting transmitters

The juveniles used in this study were one- or two-year-old Amur ratsnakes. For the study, we collected eggs in June 2009 from two female Amur ratsnakes (No. 590 and No. 782), which were caught at Woraksan National Park, approximately 55 km apart from the study site; the eggs were artificially hatched, and the juveniles were reared in the captive breeding facility (Kim 2012). In the facility, the juveniles could freely move between indoor and outdoor arenas, and were fed one live or frozen mouse once per week (Kim 2012). We only used the juveniles who success-
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Radio-tracking

We conducted radio-tracking of the released captive-bred juveniles in random order once per day between 1000 h and 1600 h using a TR-1000 receiver combined with a three element Yagi antenna (Wildlife Materials Inc., Murphysboro, IL, USA) between August 21 and September 5, 2010 and between June 13 and July 13, 2011. However, in 2010, the tracking was done once per day or once per two days from September 6 to September 20 because of researchers’ personal schedules.

When we confirmed whereabouts of the juveniles, we recorded the coordinates of the locations using a GPS (Vista CX; Garmin-Korea, Seoul, Korea), determined structural feature types, and, in 2011, checked the state of attached transmitters. Structural features in the habitat were classified into four types: underground, ground, rock, and tree. The underground feature was designated if we detected the signal that originated from under the ground or inside rock clumps. The ground feature included grassland, bare ground, and leafy areas. The rock feature was designated when the snake was found on a rocky}

Pre-adaptation to the natural habitat before release

Before the release of the captive-bred juveniles into the natural habitat, the juveniles were allowed to pre-adapt to the habitat for one week within a pre-adaptation facility (Fig. 1). The facility (180 cm long × 180 cm wide × 150 cm high), which was made of acrylic plates combined with nets, was located at the grassland in the habitat where we later released the juveniles. For efficient air circulation, we made many holes (1 cm diameter) at 10 cm intervals on the acrylic plate walls. We closed the floor and roof of the facility with nets (0.5 cm × 0.5 cm, 1 cm × 1 cm, respectively) to prevent the juveniles’ escaping and to protect them from possible predation by birds or mammals. Inside the facility, we placed several tree twigs to provide basking places and also covered some of the twigs using a piece of vinyl sheet (80 × 50 cm) to provide shelters. The water from the mountain stream was provided daily in a plate (10 cm diameter, 3 cm depth). We checked and confirmed the juveniles three times per day (0800, 1200, and 1600 h) and fed the juveniles each one 2- to 3-week-old mouse on the three days before their release. On the day of the release, we checked the health conditions of each juvenile based on its activity and skin color and also measured the snout-vent length (SVL) and body weight of each juvenile to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 g using a digital vernier caliper (CD-15CPX; Mitutoyo-Korea, Seoul, Korea) and a digital balance (TMB 120-1; Kern-Korea, Seoul, Korea).

Radio-tracking

We conducted radio-tracking of the released captive-bred juveniles in random order once per day between 1000 h and 1600 h using a TR-1000 receiver combined with a three element Yagi antenna (Wildlife Materials Inc., Murphysboro, IL, USA) between August 21 and September 5, 2010 and between June 13 and July 13, 2011. However, in 2010, the tracking was done once per day or once per two days from September 6 to September 20 because of researchers’ personal schedules.

When we confirmed whereabouts of the juveniles, we recorded the coordinates of the locations using a GPS (Vista CX; Garmin-Korea, Seoul, Korea), determined structural feature types, and, in 2011, checked the state of attached transmitters. Structural features in the habitat were classified into four types: underground, ground, rock, and tree. The underground feature was designated if we detected the signal that originated from under the ground or inside rock clumps. The ground feature included grassland, bare ground, and leafy areas. The rock feature was designated when the snake was found on a rocky
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### Table 1. Summary of the radio-tracking of 11 captive-bred Amur ratsnake (*Elaphe schrencki*) juveniles in the natural habitat in 2010 and 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Individual No.</th>
<th>SVL (cm)</th>
<th>BW(g) before</th>
<th>BW(g) after</th>
<th>Radio-tracking period</th>
<th>No. of relocations</th>
<th>No. of movements (rate)</th>
<th>Distance moved (m)</th>
<th>Home range (ha)</th>
<th>MCP</th>
<th>Kernel 50</th>
<th>Kernel 95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7821</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>8/21-9/17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
<td>30.2 ± 16.7</td>
<td>423.8</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7828</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>8/21-9/20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23 (92)</td>
<td>29.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>644.8</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78211</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>8/21-9/20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15 (65.2)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 9.8</td>
<td>910.3</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78213</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>8/21-9/17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19 (79.2)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 20.4</td>
<td>1,601.4</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>11.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78214</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>8/21-9/17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>435.6</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>75.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>30.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>20.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>16.8 ± 6.7 (82.7 ± 4.9)</td>
<td>33.4 ± 9.2</td>
<td>40.1 ± 11.8</td>
<td>803.2 ± 218.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5901</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6/13-7/1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5902</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>6/13-7/13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14 (45.2)</td>
<td>36.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>1,108.1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7821</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>6/13-7/13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12 (38.7)</td>
<td>21.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>655.3</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7822</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>6/13-7/13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td>23.0 ± 9.2</td>
<td>690.6</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78213</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>6/13-7/13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9 (29.0)</td>
<td>15.7 ± 7.8</td>
<td>470.4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78214</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6/13-7/13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2 (65)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>120.6 ± 6.7</td>
<td>28.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>28.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>8.7 ± 5.4 (28.8 ± 2.2)</td>
<td>16.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>42.7 ± 11.5</td>
<td>494.7 ± 172.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>12.4 ± 2.2 (53.3 ± 9.4)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>634.9 ± 138.2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SVL, snout-vent length; BW, body weight; MCP, minimum convex polygon; n.a., not available.
outcrop or rock. The tree location meant that the snake was observed in a tree above the ground.

Data analysis

We first counted the number of relocations and actual movements of the released captive-bred juveniles. Based on the data, we calculated the movement rate, which was calculated by dividing the number of movements by the number of relocations. We plotted the coordinates of the relocated juveniles on a digital map of the study area in ArcView GIS ver. 3.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc., Redlands, CA, USA). To calculate the daily distance moved of each juvenile, we only used daily data where two sequential locations were available. Therefore, some data in 2010 where radio-tracking was done once per two days were excluded in this analysis. The daily distance moved was measured as the minimum distance between two locations plotted on the digital habitat maps in ArcView GIS. The mean daily distances moved of each juvenile was obtained by dividing the sum of the daily distance moved by the number of sequential radio-tracking days. The distance moved per movement was calculated by dividing the total distance moved by the number of moved days. The total distance moved was the sum of the daily distances moved during the study period. The home range of each juvenile during the study period was estimated using both the minimum convex polygon (MCP) and the 50% and 95% fixed kernel density techniques using the animal movement extension in ArcView GIS following previous studies (Lee and Park 2011, Lee et al. 2011). We selected the MCP home range because MCP does not make any a priori assumptions about the pattern of space use of the lizards, and it is easy to calculate based on real observations of snakes (Haenel et al. 2003). It has been known that the kernel home range might provide information about core habitats (Worton 1989). Daily humidity and air temperature were directly measured at the valley using a HOBO pendant temperature data logger (Onset Co., Onset, MA, USA), and the precipitation data was obtained from the Wonju meteorological center, approximately 11 km away from the study site.

Statistical analysis

Because of the small sample size, we applied non-parametric statistical analyses. We did not compare the results from the 2010 and 2011 studies; because three juveniles were re-used in the studies, the study period of radio-tracking was different, and the attachment method of radio-transmitters was different between the years. Relationships among individual physical conditions (SVL and body weight), the number of relocations, the number of movements, movement rates, moved distances (daily mean, per movement, and total), and home-range sizes (MCP kernel 50% and 95%) were analyzed using the Spearman correlation analysis. Also, the same analysis was applied for comparison among the mean daily distances moved and weather conditions (mean daily air temperature and humidity and daily precipitation). The difference in the number of relocations of the juveniles at underground, ground, rock, and tree in each study, 2010 and 2011, was separately analyzed using a Chi-square test. When the difference was significant, we applied the same Chi-square test for the post-hoc tests with the Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS ver. 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation.

RESULTS

Summary of radio-tracking

We relocated the juveniles at 20 ± 3 times (range, 7 to 25 times; N = 5) in 2010 and 29 ± 2 times (range, 18 to 31 times; N = 6) in 2011 (Table 1). During the study period, we lost signals of two juveniles (No. 7828 and No. 5901) and one of them (No. 7828) which lost in 2010 was recaptured in July 2011. In 2011, No. 78214 snake was collected several days after completing the study because it was stayed under the rock. In 2010, the body weight of two juveniles decreased, while the others increased. In 2011, the body weight of four juveniles decreased all (Table 1). The SVL of the recaptured juvenile No. 7828 increased to 2.1 cm, but the body weight decreased to 6.8 g.

Movement patterns, distances moved, and home-range size

In 2010, the released captive-bred juveniles initially moved to areas at the mouth of the valley and then stayed there. For example, juvenile No. 7828 moved downward toward the stream and was frequently observed on the stream bank or on rocks around the mouth of the mountain stream (Fig. 2a). In 2011, the juveniles either moved upward from the release site or stayed for a while at the release site. Juvenile No. 5902, for example, initially moved upward and then moved again farther to the ridge of the mountain (Fig. 2b).
The body weight of the released captive-bred juveniles showed a negative relationship with the movement rate ($r = -0.61, N = 11, P < 0.05$), which showed a positive relationship with the mean daily distances moved ($r = 0.67, N = 11, P < 0.05$) and with the size of kernel 50% ($r = 0.65, N = 11, P < 0.05$) and 95% home range ($r = 0.70, N = 11, P < 0.05$) (Table 2). The number of movements was positively related with the total distance moved ($r = 0.62, N = 11, P < 0.05$) and the size of MCP home range ($r = 0.63, N = 11, P < 0.05$). The mean daily distances moved of the juveniles was positively related with the size of MCP, kernel 50%

The movement rate was $82.7 \pm 4.9\%$ (range, 65.2 to 92\%) in 2010 and $28.8 \pm 2.2\%$ (range, 6.5 to 45.2\%) in 2011 (Table 1). During the first seven days, the released captive-bred juveniles moved short distances, and, after this period, their distances moved increased (Fig. 3a). In 2011, from approximately 10 days after the release, the juveniles repeated their movement patterns and then stayed put. The mean daily humidity ($r = -0.63, N = 30, P < 0.01$) and daily precipitation ($r = -0.73, N = 30, P < 0.01$) showed a negative relationship with the daily distances moved, but the mean daily air temperature did not ($P = 0.07$).

![Fig. 2. The movement patterns of Amur ratsnake (Elaphe schrenckii) juvenile No. 7828 in 2010 (a) and juvenile No. 5902 in 2011 (b), which were released into the natural habitat, and the sizes of the minimum convex polygon (dotted line), kernel 50\% (shaded in gray) and 95\% (solid line) home range used by juvenile No. 78213 (c) between August 21 and September 20 in 2010 and by juvenile No. 5902 (d) between June 13 and July 13 in 2011. Each dot in the figure indicates the location of juvenile ratsnakes confirmed during the radio-trackings.](image-url)
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and 95% home range (P < 0.05 for all cases), the distance moved per movement was with the size of the MCP (P < 0.01) and kernel 95% (P < 0.05) home range, and the total distance moved was with the size of the MCP home range (P < 0.01) (Table 2).

The number of radio-trackings, which was conducted over two subsequent days, was 15 ± 2.8 times (range, 4 to 19 times) in 2010 and 27.8 ± 2.2 times (range, 17 to 30 times) in 2011. In 2010 and 2011, the mean daily distances moved was 33.4 ± 9.2 m, 16.6 ± 5.7 m; the distance moved per movement was 40.1 ± 11.8 m, 42.7 ± 11.5 m; and the total distance moved during the study period was 803.2 ± 218.3 m, 494.7 ± 172.0 m, respectively (Table 1). In 2010 and 2011, the size of the home range of the juveniles during the study period was 2.6 ± 1.2 ha, 1.2 ± 0.4 ha for the MCP home range; 0.6 ± 0.2 ha, 0.2 ± 0.1 ha for the kernel 50% home range; and 4.8 ± 2.0 ha, 1.5 ± 0.9 ha for the kernel 95% home range, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 2c and 2d).

Use of structural features

In both 2010 and 2011, the released captive-bred juveniles were differentially confirmed at the various structural feature types: underground, ground, rock, and tree (X² = 55.5, df = 3, P < 0.001 for 2010; X² = 47.4, df = 3, P < 0.001 for 2011) (Fig. 4). In particular, the juveniles were frequently relocated underground, but a few were found on the rock in 2010 (P < 0.009) (Fig. 4). In 2011, the juveniles were frequently confirmed underground and on the ground, while less frequently on the rock (P < 0.009) (Fig. 4).

Table 2. Relationships among the various parameters related with radio-tracking, distances moved and home range of captive-bred Amur ratsnake (Elaphe schrenckii) juveniles released into the natural habitat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVL</th>
<th>Body weight</th>
<th>No. of relocation</th>
<th>Movement No.</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Distance moved (m)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Distance moved (m)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.61*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance moved (m)</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.67*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per movement</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home range (ha)</td>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kernel 50</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.65*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kernel 95</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are correlation coefficients. The sample size was 11 for each comparison. SVL, snout-vent length; MCP, minimum convex polygon.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
where we released the juveniles might not have provided enough available prey for them. Second, during our study period, there was frequent rain. As a result, increased humidity and decreased air temperature may have negatively affected their basking activity required for proper foraging (Huey et al. 1989). The body weight of the recaptured juvenile No. 7828 might have been temporarily decreased by the wet weather conditions rather than decreased over the entire one-year period considering its increased SVL.

Movement patterns, distances moved, and home-range size

The released captive-bred juveniles did not initially make long-distance movements in the natural habitat, but their moved distances increased starting approximately one week after the release. This movement pattern is similar to previous results (King et al. 2004, Roe et al. 2010). For example, captive-bred juveniles of the northern water snake (N. sipedon) showed low activity and used very limited areas during their early phase of release (Roe et al. 2010). Also, eastern massasaugas (S. catenatus) translocated into new habitat initially stayed at the site where they were released. After that, they gradually increased their activity, possibly for exploring the new habitat (King et al. 2004). In this study, the released captive-bred juveniles might adapt themselves to the new habitat during the first week. After that, they might start to explore the new habitat to find an appropriate microhabitat with increased distances moved. These results suggest that the early movement patterns of captive-bred juveniles in the natural habitat might be explained by the environmental adaptability of individuals and the availability of appropriate microhabitats.

The released captive-bred juveniles with heavy body weight moved short distances daily and had small home range. In general, snakes with large body size, longer SVL and heavier body weight, made more movements and had larger home ranges for increased foraging activity (Blouin-Demers et al. 2007). Our results are not consistent with these previous findings. In our study, the released captive-bred juveniles spent quite a lot of time underground possibly as a way to adapt to the new habitat or to hide from possible predators. In this situation, the heavy body weight of the juveniles could allow them to stay underground for a longer period of time, thus decreasing possible risks from predators. This subsequently could result in short daily moved distances and the use of a small home range. Similar results were recently reported from the study of captive-bred juveniles of the northern water snake.
radio telemetry of captive-bred Amur ratsnake juveniles

The released captive-bred juveniles moved approximately 25 m and used 1.9 ha MCP home range daily during the study period. In a previous study, adult Amur ratsnakes moved daily about 15 m, and, monthly, they used about 1.5 ha MCP home range during the active period (Lee and Park 2011), and the values were slightly smaller than those of the captive-bred juveniles. These results might be because the released captive-bred juveniles may have actively explored the areas and searched for appropriate microhabitats during the study period.

Use of structural features

The released captive-bred juveniles mostly hid under ground and conducted their basking on the ground. In a previous study, adult Amur ratsnakes were most frequently observed on rocks or stream banks (> 70% of total observations) (Lee and Park 2011). About 18% were observed on the ground and less than 5% were observed underground. In this study, the released captive-bred juveniles were relocated in high-frequency underground (48%) or on the ground (36%), but in low-frequency on the rock (3.5%). Our results suggest that released captive-bred juveniles in the natural habitat use the underground as a hiding place and probably use the ground as a basking place. Previous studies of juvenile snakes also showed that in woodland forests juvenile snakes preferred concealed structural features because of their high vulnerability to potential predators and that they basked on the forest floor. It is reported that the sunlight through forest light gaps could be sufficient for the basking of juvenile snakes in woodland forests (Blouin-Demers et al. 2007). For example, black ratsnake (E. obsoleta) juveniles spent much of their time underground or inside of rock clumps (Blouin-Demers et al. 2007), and eastern massasauga (S. c. catus) preferred a habitat where plentiful logs were present (King et al. 2004). In addition, approximately 10% of the total of released captive-bred juvenile ratsnakes was counted on trees. The rate was similar to that of adult ratsnakes (Lee and Park 2011). Trees could be good places for basking and for safety from ground predators (Lee and Park 2011, Lee et al. 2011). Like the results for adult Amur ratsnakes (Lee 2011), released juvenile ratsnakes also stayed relatively close to the mountain stream, although during our radio-tracking, we did not directly observe the juvenile snakes that were drinking water or soaking in the water. Therefore, it is still not clear if they specifically prefer the water or basking sites along the stream.

Conclusion

Our results provide several important implications for the rehabilitation of the endangered Amur ratsnakes using a captive breeding program. First, the release time of captive-bred juveniles should be carefully selected. In this study, frequent precipitation in June or August negatively affected basking and foraging activity of the juveniles. The release time could be matched to the natural time between late August and mid September (Lee 2011) when hatchlings appear in natural populations. Second, a better feeding program in a captive breeding program could be developed and the existence of available prey in the natural habitat should be investigated before releasing juveniles. In this study, the body weight of most juveniles decreased, implying that most juveniles may face some foraging problems. Third, considering that the released captive-bred juveniles initially spent quite a long time hiding, the natural habitat where captive-bred juveniles are released should have appropriate shelters and structural features. Also, the habitat should be large enough to accommodate for juveniles’ movement distances and home range.
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